

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/059,417	STENZEL ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Stephen A Vu	3636	

All Participants:

(1) Stephen A Vu.

(2) Mardson McQuay (#52,020).

Status of Application: Amendment Received

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 2 March 2004

Time: 11:05 am est.

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

1 and 4

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicant's attorney agreed to the proposed examiner's amendment to place the case in condition for allowance.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)